# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM
MAR 1 4 2006 97

for

## **District of Guam**

MARY L.M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT

## Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision

Name of Offender:	Lourdes Rosa Mora aka Lourdes Rosa Crew Case Number: CR 02-00070-001			
Name of Sentencing	Judicial Officer: John S. Unpingco			
Date of Original Sen	tence: May 28, 2003			
Original Offense:	Possession of Methamphetamine Hydrochloride with Intent to Distribute, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1).			
Original Sentence:	Twelve months imprisonment with credit for time served followed by three years supervised release to include: participation in a substance abuse program to include random urinalysis; refrain from the use of any and all alcoholic beverages; obtain and maintain employment; perform 300 hours community service; and pay a \$100 special assessment fee. On February 20, 2004, an informational violation report was filed with the Court. Conditions modified on April 13, 2004 to include an additional 100 hours of community service for noncompliance. Revoked May 24, 2004 for eight months imprisonment followed by 28 months of supervised release for noncompliance, with all conditions, originally imposed and modified, ordered to remain in effect.			
-	Supervised Release Date Supervision Commenced: January 21, 2005  Defense Attorney: Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr.			
	PETITIONING THE COURT			
To issue a warrar  X To issue a summe				
The probation office	r believes that the offender has violated the following condition(s) of supervision:			
Violation Number Nature of Noncompliance				
1	Positive test results for use of methamphetamine (2/15/06; 2/17/06; 2/21/06)			
2	Failures to report for urinalysis (2/10/06; 2/13/06; 2/16/06)			
3	Failure to report for drug treatment counseling (2/14/06)			

difficult that

Case 1:02-cr-00070

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM HAGATNA, GUAM

Document 36

Filed 03/14/2006

Page 2 of 7

#### **VIOLATION WORKSHEET**

1.	Defendant Lourdes Rosa Mora aka Lourdes Rosa Mora Crew				
2.	Docket Number (Year-Sequence-Defendant No.) CR 02-00070	-001			
3.	District/Office Guam				
4.	Original Sentence Date 05 / 28 / 03 month day year				
(If diff	ferent than above):				
5.	Original District/Office N/A				
6.	Original Docket Number (Year-Sequence-Defendant No.)  N/A				
7.	List each violation and determine the applicable grade (see §7B1.1(b	))			
Viola	ation(s)		Grade		
• P	Positive test results for use of methamphetamine (2/15/06; 2/17/06; 2/21/06)				
• F	Failures to report for urinalysis (2/10/06; 2/13/06; 2/16/06)		С		
• F:	failure to report for drug treatment counseling (2/14/06)		С		
•					
•					
•					
8.	Most Serious Grade of Violation (see §7B1.1(b))		С		
9.	Criminal History Category (see §7B1.4(a))		ı		
10.	Range of Imprisonment (see §7B1.4(a))	3 - 9	months		
11.	Sentencing Options for Grade B and C Violations Only (Check the appropriate box):				
х	(a) If the minimum term of imprisonment determined under §7B1.4 (least one month but not more than six months, §7B1.3(c)(1) provide imprisonment.				
	(b) If the minimum term of imprisonment determined under §7B1.4 (Term of Imprisonment) is more than six months but not more than ten months, §7B1.3(c)(2) provides sentencing options to imprisonment.				
	(c) If the minimum term of imprisonment determined under §7B1.4 (than ten months, no sentencing options to imprisonment are availab		nent) is more .		

ופופו	idant: L	ourdes hosa Mora a	aka Lourdes nos	a Willia			
12.	Unsatisfied	Conditions of Origi	nal Sentence				
	previously i		itence for whic	h revocation is	mittent confinement ordered that remains		
	Restitution	(\$) O		Community	Confinement	0	
	Fine (\$)	0		Home Deter	ntion	N/A	
	Other	<del>- 18 (1.0.1 - 2.1.1 - 1.1.1 - 1.1.2 - 2.1.2 -</del>	<del>V-,V/1-1/14/1/14-14-14</del>	Intermittent	Confinement		
13.	Supervised	Release					
	If probation is to be revoked, determine the length, if any, of the term of supervised release according to the provisions of §§5D1.1-1.3 (see §§7B1.3(g)(1)).						
		Term: _	N/A t	o <u>N/A</u>	years.		
	If supervised release is revoked and the term of imprisonment imposed is less than the maximum term of imprisonment imposable upon revocation, the defendant may, to the extent permitted by law, be ordered to recommence supervised release upon release from imprisonment (see 18 U.S.C. §3583(e) and §7B1.3(g)(2)).						
	Period of su	pervised release to	be served follo	wing release fr	om imprisonme	ent: 19 months	
14.	Departure						
	List aggrava of imprison		factors that ma	ay warrant a se	entence outside	the applicable range	
		departure may be v or substantial assist				sult of a downward tion Note 4.	
15.	Official Dete	ention Adjustment (	<u>see</u> §7B1.3(e)):	r	months	days	

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF GUAM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,	) CRIMINAL CASE NO. 02-00070-001
Vs.	) ) ) DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
LOURDES ROSA MORA	)
aka LOURDES ROSA MORA CREW	)
Defendant.	)
	)

Re: Violation of Supervised Release; Request for a Summons

I, U.S. Probation Officer Robert I. Carreon, am the U.S. Probation Officer assisting in the supervision of Lourdes Rosa Mora aka Lourdes Rosa Mora Crew, and in that capacity declare as follows:

Mandatory Condition 1: The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. On February 15, 2006, Lourdes Mora reported to the U.S. Probation Office as instructed for a compliance meeting to address her failures to report for urinalysis on February 10, 2006 and February 13, 2006. She was also advised that she failed to report for a scheduled counseling session on February 14, 2006. Ms. Mora explained that she missed the appointments because she has been at the hospital tending to a sick relative. Upon being subjected to urinalysis, she tested positive for the use of methamphetamine. Ms. Mora executed a drug use admission form indicating she used "ice" on February 13, 2006. According to Ms. Mora, she is still in mourning over her father who passed away in 2005. When reporting recently to the hospital to assist in the care of a relative, she stated she was reminded of the pain of her father's death. Ms. Mora stated that in this weak emotional state, she was unable to refuse drug use on February 13, 2006 as offered by a friend. Rather than resorting to

#### DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

Violation of Supervised Release; Request for a Summons

Re: MORA, Lourdes Rosa
USDC Cr. Cs. No. 02-00070-001
March 9, 2006
Page 2

drug use, she was advised to utilize her drug treatment counseling sessions to cope with crisis. She was informed that immediate emergency counseling sessions are available to her, and upon her request. Ms. Mora stated she understood and assured no further noncompliance.

On February 17, 2006, Ms. Mora again was instructed to report to the U.S. Probation Office for a compliance meeting relative to a failure to attend urinalysis on February 16, 2006. She related that she forgot to call the urinalysis bulletin and subsequently missed the drug test. Upon being subjected to urinalysis, she tested positive for the use of methamphetamine. Ms. Mora stated that her last "ice" use was on February 13, 2006, however, this Officer rejected this claim citing that all traces of "ice" use typically exits the body in four days. Ms. Mora persisted in her claim, and as a result, she was instructed to return to the U.S. Probation Office on February 21, 2006 for another urinalysis. Ms. Mora agreed that certainly by this date she should test negative for any drug use. On February 21, 2006, Ms. Mora tested positive for methamphetamine. She executed a drug use admission form for using the drug on February 20, 2006, and explained that she went into crisis and used the drug on that date after being questioned by police officers about a domestic incident she witnessed at her apartment complex.

Ms. Mora was instructed to cease all violation behavior immediately and return to full compliance. She was advised that a violation hearing from the Court will be petitioned by the probation office to address her noncompliance.

Special Condition: The defendant shall participate in a program approved by the U.S. Probation Office for the treatment of narcotic addiction or drug or alcohol dependency which will include testing for the detection of substance use or abuse. It is further ordered that the defendant make copayment for treatment at a rate to be determined by the U.S. Probation Office. As outlined above, Ms. Mora failed to report for urinalysis on February 10, 2006; Febrary 13, 2006; and February 16, 2006. She also failed to report for drug treatment counseling on February 14, 2006.

Recommendation: This probation officer respectfully requests that the Court issue a Summons for Lourdes Rosa Mora aka Lourdes Rosa Mora Crew to appear for a hearing to answer or show cause why supervised release should not be revoked pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3).

#### DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

Violation of Supervised Release; Request for a Summons

MORA, Lourdes Rosa USDC Cr. Cs. No. 02-00070-001 March 9, 2006 Page 3

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct, except those matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed this day of March 2006, at Hagatna, Guam, in conformance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK MICHAEL CRUZ Chief U.S. Probation Officer

U.S. Probation Officer

Reviewed by:

U.S. Probation Officer Supervision Unit Leader

cc: Marivic P. David, AUSA

Joaquin C. Arriola, Jr., Defense Counsel

File